

**$K_{e4}$  DECAYS AND THE ISOSCALAR PION-PION RESONANCE AT LOW ENERGY**

B. A. ARBUZOV, NGUYEN VAN HIEU, and R. N. FAUSTOV

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Submitted to JETP editor August 4, 1962

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 44, 329–331 (January, 1963)

The probabilities for  $K_{e4}$  decays are computed and it is shown that the assumption of the existence of a pion-pion resonance with  $I = 0$  and  $l = 0$  at an energy of 310 MeV leads to decay rates which exceed the upper limit of the experimental data.

In a previous paper by one of the authors [1] it has been shown that the two-pion effective-mass spectra of the  $K_{e4}$  decays

$$K^0 \rightarrow e^+ + \nu + \pi^- + \pi^0, \tag{1}$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu + \pi^0 + \pi^0, \tag{2}$$

$$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu + \pi^+ + \pi^- \tag{3}$$

are determined by the partial amplitudes  $F^l(s)$ ,  $l = 0$  and 1 of the reaction

$$\pi + \pi \rightarrow K + \bar{K} \tag{4}$$

and by the effective coupling constant of the  $K_{\mu 2}$  decay. Therefore the experimental data on these decays could yield information on the  $K-\pi$  and  $\pi-\pi$  interactions.

In the present paper we shall utilize the results obtained earlier [1] in order to compute the decay probabilities for the modes (1)–(3) under the assumption of the existence of a  $K-\pi$ -resonance [2] with spin 1 (the vector meson  $K^*$ ) for two cases: assuming the existence of a pion-pion resonance in the state  $I = 0$ ,  $l = 0$  at 310 MeV [3] and assuming a pion-pion scattering length in the same state equal to  $2.5/m_\pi$  [4]. We shall show that the assumption

of the indicated pion-pion resonance leads to values of the  $K_{e4}$  decay rates exceeding the upper limit of the experimental data.

In order to remove the kinematic singularities we replace  $F^l(s)$  by the quantity

$$f^l(s) = [(s - 4m^2)(s - 4M^2)]^{-1/2} F^l(s), \tag{5}$$

where  $m$  and  $M$  are the masses of the pion and kaon, respectively,  $s$  is the square of the effective mass of the two pions. For the sake of convenience we denote the partial wave amplitude  $F^0(s)$  by  $f^0(s)$ :

$$f^0(s) = F^0(s).$$

Assuming a Mandelstam representation [5] without subtractions for the amplitudes of the process (4) and for pion-kaon scattering, and taking into account only the contribution of the pion-kaon resonance with a small width in the calculation of the contribution of the left-hand cut, we obtain the following dispersion relation for  $f^l(s)$

$$f^l(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im } f^l(s')}{s' - s} ds' + G^l(s); \tag{6}$$

$$G^l(s) = 8\sqrt{6} W\Gamma \left[ 1 + \frac{2sW^2}{[W^2 - (M+m)^2][W^2 - (M-m)^2]} \right] g^l(s), \tag{7}$$

$$g^0(s) = \begin{cases} [(s - 4m^2)(4M^2 - s)]^{-1/2} \text{arc tg} \frac{[(s - 4m^2)(4M^2 - s)]^{1/2}}{[W^2 - (M^2 + m^2) + s/2]} & \text{for } 4m^2 \leq s \leq 4M^2 \\ [(s - 4m^2)(s - 4M^2)]^{-1/2} \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{[W^2 - (M^2 + m^2) + s/2] + [(s - 4m^2)(s - 4M^2)]^{1/2}}{[W^2 - (M^2 + m^2) + s/2] - [(s - 4m^2)(s - 4M^2)]^{1/2}} & \text{for } s \leq 4m^2, s \geq 4M^2 \end{cases} \tag{8}^*$$

$$g^1(s) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}} [(s - 4m^2)(s - 4M^2)]^{-1} \left( 1 + 2 \left[ W^2 - (M^2 + m^2) + \frac{s}{2} \right] g^0(s) \right), \tag{9}$$

where  $W$  and  $\Gamma$  are the energy and the half-width of the kaon-pion resonance.

In the region  $4m^2 \leq s \leq 16m^2$  unitarity implies

$$\text{Im } f^l(s) = f^l(s) e^{-i\delta_l} \sin \delta_l, \tag{10}$$

where  $\delta_l$  are the pion-pion scattering phase shifts.

In the region  $s > 16m^2$  the unitarity condition does not yield a simple relation like Eq. (10). However in the present paper we consider  $f^l(s)$  only in the low energy region  $4m^2 \leq s \leq M^2 < 16m^2$ ; there-

\* $\text{arctg} = \tan^{-1}$ .

fore the influence of the high-energy region is inessential.

One can expect Eq. (8) to hold also for  $s > 16m^2$  and the  $f^l(s)$  as well as the pion pion amplitudes vanish for  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case the solutions of the integral equations are unique. Expressions for such solutions have been obtained by Omnés and Muskhelishvili<sup>[6]</sup>,<sup>1)</sup>

From the above results and Eqs. (14) and (15) in [1] one can compute the rates of the processes (1)–(3). We choose the phase shift,  $\delta_1$  in accordance with the existence of a resonance at 750 MeV (the  $\rho$ -meson)<sup>[7]</sup>. For the phase shift  $\delta_0$  we envisage two cases: either the existence of a pion-pion resonance in the state  $I = 0, l = 0$  at an energy 310 MeV and half-width 15 MeV or a pion-pion scattering length in the same state equal to  $2.5/m_\pi$ . As a result we obtain the following values for the decay probabilities for the modes (1)–(3):

$W_1 = 3 \cdot 10^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $W_2 = 1.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $W_3 = 3 \cdot 10^4 \text{ sec}^{-1}$   
for the first assumption, and

$W_1 = 3 \cdot 10^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $W_2 = 5 \cdot 10^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ ,  $W_3 = 1.1 \cdot 10^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}$

for the second assumption.

The decay mode (3)

$$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ + \nu + \pi^+ + \pi^-$$

would look like an anomalous  $\tau$ -decay. From the

fact that among 2000  $\tau$  events no event of the type (3) has been observed we obtain the following upper limit of the rate for this mode

$$W_{3 \text{ exp}} \leq 2.5 \cdot 10^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$

Thus the assumption of the existence of a pion-pion resonance in the  $I = 0, l = 0$  state at an energy of 310 MeV, yields a value for the decay rate for the mode (3) larger by a factor of ten than the upper limit of the experimental data.

In conclusion we would like to express our profound gratitude to Prof. M. A. Markov for his interest in this work and to L. B. Okun', I. T. Todorov, G. Damokos and J. Wolf for discussions.

<sup>1</sup>Nguyen Van Hieu, JETP 44, 162 (1963), this issue, p. 113.

<sup>2</sup>Alston, Alvarez, Eberhard, Good, Graziano, Ticho and Wojcicki, Phys. Rev. Letters 6, 300 (1961).

<sup>3</sup>Abashian, Booth and Crowe, Phys. Rev. Letters 5, 258 (1960).

<sup>4</sup>Booth, Abashian, and Crowe, Phys. Rev. Letters 7, 35 (1961).

<sup>5</sup>S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 112, 1344 (1958).

<sup>6</sup>R. Omnés, Nuovo cimento 8, 316 (1958), N. I. Muskhelishvili, Singulyarnye integral'nye uravneniya (Singular integral equations), Fizmatgiz, 1962.

<sup>7</sup>W. Frazer and J. Fulco, Phys. Rev. Letters 2, 365 (1959).

<sup>1)</sup>The results obtained in this manner are valid only in the low energy region and are incorrect at higher energies.

Translated by M. E. Mayer